



PCT/AU03/00762

Rec'd PCTO 21 DEC 2004

REC'D 02 JUL 2003

WIPO

PCT

10/519260

Patent Office
Canberra

I, JULIE BILLINGSLEY, TEAM LEADER EXAMINATION SUPPORT AND SALES hereby certify that annexed is a true copy of the Provisional specification in connection with Application No. PS 3116 for a patent by MARS INC. as filed on 21 June 2002.



WITNESS my hand this
Twenty-seventh day of June 2003

JULIE BILLINGSLEY
TEAM LEADER EXAMINATION
SUPPORT AND SALES

**PRIORITY
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

AUSTRALIA
Patents Act 1990

PROVISIONAL SPECIFICATION

"Palatable Vegetarian Pet Food"

The invention is described in the following statement:

PALATABLE VEGETARIAN PET FOOD

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to the field of commercial pet food manufacture. In particular it relates to a vegetarian pet food that is nutritionally complete and highly palatable.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

For many years, commercial pet foods have been based on meat and meat-derived by-products. This is partly because these products are usually highly palatable to pet mammals, including dogs and cats. Typically, such products are marketed in a canned format.

A move toward more convenient delivery formats for commercial pet foods have seen the great increase in popularity of dry, packeted pet foods in the form of cereal-based kibbles. Typically these foods are based on cereal products such as rice, maize and wheat and are produced by a variety of well-known extrusion techniques.

A drawback for such products is that dogs and cats typically do not find cereal-based products to be as palatable as meat-based products. To counter this, a wide range of technologies has been developed to imbue these cereal-based products with suitable 'meat flavours'. A common technique is to inject a highly palatable, meat-based material into the cereal mixture as it passes through the extruder. Another technique is to hydrolyze animal materials, such as chicken viscera, and to spray this material on to the extruded kibble. The liquid soaks into the kibble and provides an appealing flavour for the animal.

However, it may not always be appropriate to use such meat-based flavourings for packeted pet foods. For example, where such meat-based material are in short supply, or where the marketplace demonstrates a clear demand for a genuinely meat-free or 'vegetarian' pet diets. Such demands may stem from perceived health benefits for the animal, or from cultural or religious traditions.

Therefore, workers in the field have sought to provide such vegetarian diets, that nevertheless are sufficiently palatable that the animal will consume them.

US patent document No. 5,141,755 by Weisman discloses a commercial, nutritionally complete pet food product that seeks to avoid the inclusion of meat-based products, particularly animal fats. This is achieved by replacing the meat-based fat content of a commercial packeted pet food with fats and proteins derived from dairy materials and/or eggs. However, this would not provide a pet food that is free from all animal-derived materials. In addition, such dairy- or egg-derived materials are usually relatively expensive and may not be commercially suitable or available.

US patent document No. 6,228,418, by Gluck *et al*/ discloses a commercial pet 'treat' product (as opposed to a nutritionally complete diet) that consists 'essentially' of vegetarian ingredients, but which nevertheless may contain 'small amounts' of meat-derived, palatability-enhancing ingredients, such as liver digest, meat digest or poultry digest. Again, such products would not meet the requirement of providing a nutritionally complete pet food product that does not contain animal derived products, and that meets typical palatability requirements for commercial pet foods.

Therefore, it is an object of the present invention to provide a nutritionally complete pet food product that does not contain animal derived products, and that meets typical palatability requirements for commercial pet foods.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a palatable, nutritionally complete vegetarian food for pet mammals, featuring a nutritionally complete, preferably low moisture, vegetarian kibble and a flavour-enhancing additive. The additive includes a synergistic amount of hydrolyzed vegetable protein and xylose. The combination of these two ingredients provides an especially flavoursome character to the pet food. Typically, the mass ratio of hydrolyzed vegetable protein to xylose, at which this synergistic effect is observed, is between 15:1 and 40:1 on a dry mass basis. The hydrolyzed

vegetable protein is available from commercial suppliers. It is typically available as a liquid dispersion, having a solids content of about 15%. Alternatively, it is available as a powder with an approximate moisture content of 10%. It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that if the powdered version is used, commensurate adjustments will need to be made to the level of moisture in the formulation of e.g. the spray to provide the preferred range of hydrolyzed vegetable protein solids in the additive.

Advantageously, the additive also includes one or more materials selected from a group comprising glucose, garlic powder and nature identical, non meat based chicken flavouring. Preferably, the additive is made up of the above ingredients in the following approximate mass proportions: hydrolyzed vegetable protein solids at between 1.5% and 4.0%; xylose at between 0.05% and 0.5%; chicken flavour at between 1.0% and 5.0%; glucose at between 5% and 15%; garlic powder at between 0.2% and 1.0%. The remainder of the additive may be made up of any suitable, relatively flavour-neutral dispersant, for example water.

Preferably, the additive is diluted in water to form a sprayable solution, and is sprayed on to the nutritionally complete, low moisture vegetarian kibble. This method of addition ensures that the flavour ingredients are not destroyed during extrusion and drying of the kibble. The spray should advantageously be added to the kibbles at a rate that provides an addition rate of the additive solids to the kibble of between about 6kg to 12kg of additive per 1000kg of kibble, and most preferably between 8kg to 10kg of additive per 1000kg of kibble.

An advantageous stage during the manufacture of the pet food is the heating of the diluted additive to between 80°C to 100°C for between 10 to 15 minutes prior to being sprayed on to the kibble. The heating promotes the development of further advantageous flavours.

In another aspect, the invention provides a flavour enhancing additive for nutritionally complete vegetarian pet mammals foods. The additive includes a synergistic amount of hydrolyzed vegetable protein and xylose, as described above, and preferably includes other optional flavour enhancing ingredients as described above.

In another aspect, the invention provides a method of enhancing the flavour of nutritionally complete vegetarian pet mammals foods. The method includes the steps of producing a low moisture, vegetarian pet food kibble, and adding to the kibble a flavour enhancing additive. The additive includes a synergistic amount of hydrolyzed vegetable protein and xylose, and preferably includes other optional flavour enhancing ingredients as described above.

In all of the above-described embodiments, it is preferred that the pet food is presented as a ready-to-eat combination of kibble and additive, but other formats are possible within the scope of the invention.

The nature of the invention will be further explained using a specific, non-limiting example of the manufacture and performance of a palatable, nutritionally complete vegetarian food for pet mammals.

EXAMPLE – VEGETARIAN DRY DOG FOOD

Nutritionally complete vegetarian dry dog food kibbles may be prepared by a number of ingredient mixing and extrusion techniques that will be familiar to those skilled in the art. Such techniques are adequately outlined, for example, in US Patent Document No. 6,197,361. The overall composition of the food is given in Table 1. This is given only as an example. The invention is suitable for use in conjunction with a wide variety of different dry packeted pet food kibbles.

Table 1.

Component	Approximate % by weight
Broken rice	38
Whole Corn	35
Legume Protein	10
Safflower seeds	5.0
Vitamin & Mineral Blend	6.0
Soya meal	4.7
Sodium Caseinate	1.3

A spray mixture was made up having the approximate composition given in Table 2.

Table 2.

Component	Approximate % by weight
Water	71
Glucose	10
Manufactured soy sauce (approx. 85% moisture)	15
Chicken flavour	1.9
Garlic powder	0.5
Xylose	0.1
Stabilisers	1.5

5 Each of the ingredients of the spray mixture is readily available from commercial sources. The manufactured soy sauce consists of approximately 15% hydrolysed vegetable protein by mass and approximately 85% moisture.

The chicken flavour used was a nature-identical, non-animal derived flavouring, of the kind available from many commercial flavour houses. The spray
 10 mixture was heated to about 100°C and held at that temperature for about 10 minutes, to promote further flavour and aroma development. The kibble was coated with vegetable oil at an application rate of 50kg per 1000kg of kibble. The spray was applied to the kibble at a rate of 65kg spray per 1000kg of kibble. The application of the spray and the oil to the kibble was achieved by passing the
 15 kibbles through a tumbling drum, while the oil and spray were sprayed on to the kibbles via spray nozzles.

The nutritionally complete dry dog food described above (Diet A) was fed to a panel of dogs under controlled conditions. Also fed as part of a three-way feeding protocol were a standard non-vegetarian packeted dry dog food having
 20 target palatability characteristics for dry dog foods (Diet B) and the above-

described vegetarian kibble having only a coating of vegetable oil, and not the aqueous spray containing the flavour additive components. (Diet C).

Briefly, the feeding protocol was as follows: a Relative Acceptance Test (RAT) was performed, based on a panel of 144 dogs, including small/toy dogs, medium dogs and large dogs. Each animal was fed a fixed amount of each diet, one diet being fed per day over the three-day test period. Small/toy dogs were fed 150g per day, medium dogs 300g/day and large dogs 450g/day.

Three measures were used to determine the relative palatability of the three diets:

- (a) Amount eaten (g): Mean of the amount of the product offered that was eaten in a single meal occasion;
- (b) Refusals: The percentage of meals where none of the product that was offered was eaten; and
- (c) Enjoyment: Mean score (on a scale of 1 to 100) of the owner's perception of the animal's enjoyment of the meal, where a higher score means the animal appeared to enjoy the meal more.

The results of the feeding test are given in Table 3. P-values given are based on a 95% confidence level.

Table 3.

Attribute	Diet A	Diet B	Diet C	p-value
Amount Eaten (g)	186a	188a	171b	0.004
Refusals (%)	10a	9a	14a	0.142
Enjoyment (scale 1-100)	62ab	66a	59b	0.003

The results underwent statistical analysis via an ANOVA model with post hoc comparison. The results from the above table indicate that Diet A achieved results in all categories that were not significantly different from the meat-based product (Diet B), and which were a significant improvement over the vegetarian

kibble that did not have the flavour enhancing additive (Diet C), in the Amount Eaten category.

DATED this 21st day of 2002

5

MARS INC.

10 WATERMARK PATENT & TRADE MARK ATTORNEYS
290 BURWOOD ROAD
HAWTHORN VIC 3122